

WRITTEN STATEMENT 3

Today on 15.10.2018 at 10.00 am the jury continued its work on the implementation of Ordinance No.RD 22-985 / 13.06.2018 of the Mayor of the Municipality of Veliko Tarnovo in connection with a competition for a project with the subject: COMPETITION FOR A NEW URBAN CENTER - VELIKO TARNOVO with Public Procurement Register No.00073-2018-0018 and with the address of the buyer profile: <https://www.veliko-tarnovo.bg/bg/profil-na-kupuvacha/582/>.

CHAIRMAN: Prof. Dr. GEORGE STANISHEV;

AND MEMBERS:

1. ANDREA D'ANTRASSI;
2. ANDREY CHERNIKHOV;
3. DR. HELLE JUUL;
4. PROF. DR. DOMINIQUE ROUILLARD;
5. ANDREW YEOMAN;
6. YURIY LYUBOMIRSKI;
7. NIKOLAY MALAKOV;
8. LORA BACHVAROVA.

The jury proceeded to examine the responses received from the participants regarding the requested additional ESPD and other documents and information.

Responses were received from the following participants:

Caps – No.5877 - dated 13.08.2018;

Arenas Basabe Palacios Arquitectos SL (LTD) No.2082 - dated 13.08.2018;

Elina Dirba (Archigrey) No.8930 - dated 14.08.2018;

OVO GRABCHEVSKI ARCHITECTS – No.9177 - dated 14.08.2018;

Image Bureau EOOD (LTD) – No.3222 - dated 15.08.2018

The participants:

Interval Architects – No.1844

ADA- Architectural and Design Agency AD (JSC) – No.5912

NUC_VT_BG DZZD – No.5509

Am Atrium Ltd. – No.3079

Deset Arhitekti (Ten Architects) EOOD (LTD) – No.6727

Association Solaris Studio and Orchestra Design – No.5938

Stephen George International Bulgaria LTD – No.3200 – the participant has sent a letter (after the expiry of the deadline for filing documents) stating that he / she will present the requested documents when requested. The Public Procurement Act does not allow for re-request of the documents after the expiry of the 5 working days. Therefore, the jury accepts that the participant has not submitted the required documents within the statutory time limit have not submitted replies within the required time limit and the jury proposes to be removed from the competition on the grounds of Art. 107, item 1 of the Public Procurement Act.

The jury proceeded to examine the additional documents received by the various participants.

PROJECT No.5877 – Caps

The participant has applied:

ESPD in English signed with an electronic signature by the person representing the company - Pinar Actas.

The attached ESPD meets the requirements of the Public Procurement Act and the terms of the competition.

Based on the above, the jury accepted that the participant meets the criteria for personal status and selection.

PROJECT No.2082 – Arenas Basabe Palacios Arquitectos SL (LTD)

The participant has applied:

- 1. Application form, signed electronically by Enrique Laorga, representing the company.**
- 2. Declaration Form 2, signed electronically by Enrique Laorga, representing the company.**
- 3. 3 pcs. ESPD in English signed by Enrique Laorga, Louis Montalvo and Luis Labrador respectively.**

After examining the documents, the jury judged that they were in compliance with the Public Procurement Act and the terms of the competition.

The participant did not submit a fourth ESPD on behalf of the company as required by Written statement No. 2. However, the Jury considers that the participant should not be removed due to the following reasons:

The submitted ESPD shows all the information necessary to assess the status of the participant regarding personal status and selection criteria. The information is submitted with ESPD signed by the representative of Enrique Laorga. The participant has submitted an ESPD on behalf of the company together with his project, which is also in Spanish signed by Enrique Laorga and should be considered as a valid application for participation.

Based on the above, the jury accepted that the participant meets the criteria for personal status and selection criteria.

PROJECT No.9177 – OVO GRABCHEVSKI ARCHITECTS

The participant has applied:

1. ESPD in English signed with an electronic signature by the person representing the company - Oscar Grabchevski.
2. Scanned diploma for completed higher education speciality - architecture.

The attached ESPD meets the requirements of the Public Procurement Act and the terms of the competition.

Based on the above, the jury accepted that the participant meets the criteria for personal status and selection criteria.

PROJECT No.3222 – Image Bureau EOOD (LTD)

The participant has applied:

ESPD in Bulgarian signed with an electronic signature by the person representing the company - Velislav Dotsin.

The attached ESPD meets the requirements of the Public Procurement Act and the terms of the competition.

Based on the above, the jury accepted that the participant meets the criteria for personal status and selection criteria.

PROJECT No.8930 – Elina Dirba (Archigrey)

The participant has applied:

Declaration Form 2, signed electronically by Elina Dirba.

The attached declaration meets the requirements of the Contracting Authority and the terms of the competition.

Based on the above, the jury accepted that the participant meets the criteria for personal status and selection criteria.

AFTER EXAMINING THE PERSONAL STATUS AND THE COMPLIANCE WITH THE SELECTION CRITERIA, THE JURY MADE THE FOLLOWING RANKING OF THE ADMITTED PARTICIPANTS:

1. **PROJECT No.1800 – CLAUDIO NARDI;**
2. **PROJECT No.2685 – Diego Terna;**
3. **PROJECT No.3222 – Image Bureau EOOD (LTD);**
4. **PROJECT No.2082 – Arenas Basabe Palacios Arquitectos SL (LTD) ;**
5. **PROJECT No.4134 - Association - Maya Shopova, Borislav Angelov and Don Toromanov**
6. **PROJECT No.9177 – OVO GRABCHEVSKI ARCHITECTS;**
7. **PROJECT No.5877 – Caps;**
8. **PROJECT No.9552 – ES EN 1 EOOD (LTD);**
9. **PROJECT No.2927 – Rositsa Nikiforova;**
10. **PROJECT No.1344 – PIN ARCHITECTS EOOD (LTD) - Desislava Parlapanska;**
11. **PROJECT No.2707 - Casanova+Hernandez Architects;**
12. **PROJECT No.3761 – Tsvetomir Tsenkov;**
13. **PROJECT No.5190 – Ozer Yurgen Mimarlik LTD**
14. **PROJECT No.3864 – ELENA NIKOLOVA ARGIROVA;**
15. **PROJECT No.4370 – Association Bonev and Chayka;**
16. **PROJECT No.8930 – Elina Dirba (Archigrey);**
17. **PROJECT No.8470 – Maria Flaccavento;**
18. **PROJECT No.2285 – Sunday Group LTD.**

With these actions, the jury completed its work and will prepare a report to the Contracting Authority, which will be accompanied by the present and all other Written Statements and other documents prepared in the course of work.

The jury meeting was closed at 16.00 pm.

This Written Statement reflects the Jury's performance of examining and evaluating ranked project proposals and the final ranking achieved prior to review of the ESPDs and compliance with the selection criteria.

This Written Statement was drawn up in a single copy.

CHAIRMAN: Prof. Dr. GEORGE STANISHEV;

.....

AND MEMBERS:

1. ANDREA D'ANTRASSI

.....

2. ANDREY CHERNIKHOV

.....

3. DR. HELLE JUUL

.....

4. PROF. DR. DOMINIQUE ROUILLARD

.....

5. ANDREW YEOMAN

.....

6. YURIY LYUBOMIRSKI

.....

7. NIKOLAY MALAKOV

.....

8. LORA BACHVAROVA

.....